

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY

LANE POWELL PC, an Oregon
professional corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

MARK DeCOURSEY and CAROL
DeCOURSEY, individually and the marital
community composed thereof,

Defendants.

No. 11-2-34596-3SEA

ORDER ON DEFENDANTS'
AMENDED MOTION FOR
DISCOVERY PLAN PURSUANT TO
CR 26(f)

Pending before the Court is Defendants' Amended Motion for Discovery Plan Pursuant to CR 26(f). In connection with Defendants' Motion, the Court reviewed the following:

- (1) Defendants' Amended Motion for Discovery Plan Under CR 26(f) and Subjoined Declaration with Exhibits A-Q attached thereto;
- (2) Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Discovery Plan Pursuant to CR 26(f);
- (3) Declaration Malaika M. Eaton in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Discovery Plan Pursuant to CR 26(f) and Exhibit A attached thereto; and
- (4) Defendants' reply and supporting material, if any.

The Court also reviewed the records and files herein. And the Court being otherwise advised herein, now, therefore,

HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES AND DECREES that Defendants' Motion for Discovery Plan Pursuant to CR 26(f) is DENIED. *(see p. 2)

ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' AMENDED MOTION FOR
DISCOVERY PLAN PURSUANT TO CR 26(f) – Page 1

LAW OFFICES OF
MCNAUL EBEL NAWROT & HELGREN PLLC
600 University Street, Suite 2700
Seattle, Washington 98101-3143
(206) 467-1816

ORIGINAL

1 IT IS SO ORDERED.

2 DATED this 12th day of December, 2011.

3 Richard D. Eadie

4 Honorable Richard D. Eadie
5 King County Superior Court Judge

6 Presented by:

7 McNAUL EBEL NAWROT & HELGREN PLLC

8 By: s/Malaika M. Eaton

9 Robert M. Sulkin, WSBA No. 15425

Malaika M. Eaton, WSBA No. 32837

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff Lane Powell, PC

11
12 1. neither party seeks an adjustment to the case
13 schedule, and therefore the case schedule and civil
14 rules will govern discovery.

15 2. CR 26(c) conferences are required prior to filing
16 a discovery related motion, but a conference is
17 not required as a condition to answering
18 interrogatories or to compliance with, or meeting
19 of dates by which ~~re~~ discovery responses are due.

20
21 3. This court's order of November 30, 2011 (sub # 3.)
22 is vacated.

23 RE